

Tailoring DDoS Mitigation to Your Needs

Use this guide to choose the right mitigation solution by understanding device capabilities to overcome business risk, without overthrowing budgets



Table of Contents

MazeBolt Introduction	3
Executive Summary	4
Components of a DDoS Mitigation System	5
Approaches to Mitigation Activity	6
Cloud Based Solutions	6
1. Scrubbing Center	6
1. Content Delivery Network (CDN)	7
On-Prem. Based Solutions	8
2. Vendor Appliances (Customer Premises Equipment - CPE)	8
3. Intrusion Prevention Systems (IPS)	9
4. Web Application Firewalls (WAFs)	9
5. Load Balancer	10
6. Firewall	11
7. Components Summary	12
8. Conclusion	12
About MazeBolt	13
Sources	13

Table of Figures

FIGURE 1 - ILLUSTRATION OF A TYPICAL HYBRID DDoS MITIGATION POSTURE	5
FIGURE 2 – ILLUSTRATION OF A CLOUD SCRUBBING CENTER	6
FIGURE 3 - ILLUSTRATION OF A CONTENT DISTRIBUTION NETWORK (CDN)	7
FIGURE 4 - ILLUSTRATION OF DEDICATED ON-PREM DDoS MITIGATION EQUIPMENT	8
FIGURE 5 - ILLUSTRATION OF AN INTRUSION PREVENTION SYSTEM (IPS)	9
FIGURE 6 - ILLUSTRATION OF A WEB APPLICATION FIREWALL (WAF)	10
FIGURE 7 - ILLUSTRATION OF A LOAD BALANCER	11
FIGURE 8 - ILLUSTRATION OF A FIREWALL	11



MazeBolt Introduction

MazeBolt is an innovation leader in cybersecurity and part of the DDoS mitigation space. Offering full DDoS risk detection and elimination and working with any mitigation system to provide end to end full coverage. Supporting organizations in avoiding downtime and closing DDoS vulnerabilities before an attack happens.

MazeBolt's leading DDoS Testing solutions cover both:

Traditional DDoS Testing:

The commonly available DDoS Testing technology that is disruptive to ongoing operations and requires maintenance windows. MazeBolt's traditional BaseLine DDoS Testing Methodology – the **de-facto** industry standard – is the most effective method of traditional testing that provides validation of over 95% of all DDoS attack vectors in just 3 hours.

****NEW** Non-Disruptive DDoS Testing:**

MazeBolt's **DDoS RADAR™** has ZERO impact on ongoing operations that allows it to test a company's entire network against hundreds of DDoS attack vectors continuously 24/7. MazeBolt's patent pending DDoS RADAR™ is the only DDoS testing method that unlike traditional DDoS Testing (that is limited in time (maintenance window) and network (up to 5 IPs)), provides a unique, comprehensive answer to the challenge of DDoS prevention.



Executive Summary

Generally, the more complex the mitigation system, the more likely failure will be due to configuration issues. This is because most Enterprise IT organizations don't have the time or resources to ensure that every part of their DDoS Mitigation posture is updated, integrated, and running the right settings for their specific environment.

No matter what the level of complexity or robustness, your mitigation system most likely has some combination of the following components:

- Scrubbing Center (BGP)
- Content Delivery Network (CDN)
- Vendor Appliances (CPE Equipment)
- Intrusion Detection System/Intrusion Prevention System
- Web Application Firewall

This document reviews the most common network devices from the DDoS mitigation perspective to provide clarity regarding the role each element plays in mitigating DDoS attacks.

Questions this document answers:

- Do WAFs, Firewalls and Load balances protect against DDoS Traffic?
- What is the difference between an Intrusion Prevention System (IPS) and a DDoS mitigation system?
- Does a CDN completely replace DDoS mitigation?
- What are the crucial systems my specific network needs for optimal DDoS mitigation?
- Does cloud based mitigation (scrubbing) deprecate on-prem DDoS mitigation?



Components of a DDoS Mitigation System


There are generally three types of DDoS mitigation postures: Cloud based, On-Prem solutions, and lastly, hybrid combinations of the two. Each has its own advantages and disadvantages and the decision of which to use largely depends on the company's infrastructure.

Most mitigation systems consist of a combination of components. This combination is essential because each component is proficient in responding to different types of attacks.

Most companies today opt for a hybrid setup. At the very least they would include a scrubbing center to protect their bandwidth. Without it, their internet pipe is very likely to be easily saturated, even if the attack traffic does not enter their internal network.

That being said, companies that host their infrastructure exclusively in the cloud (AWS, Google, Azure) cannot have on-prem mitigation devices (as they just don't have an infrastructure premise), but should still have a scrubbing center.

Figure 1 - Illustration of a Typical Hybrid DDoS Mitigation Posture



Approaches to Mitigation Activity

DDoS mitigation generally takes one of two approaches:

- Proactive, “always on” – Goes into effect automatically. All traffic is inspected, and suspicious traffic is separated out before it gets to your infrastructure, preventing it from going down.
- Reactive, “on demand” – Also known as Monitoring Mode. Components that take this approach do not block automatically, they monitor and wait for a block order. This isn't always automated, which means by the time the mitigation provider discovers the problem – often reported via a client calling the customer service line – it may be too late to prevent downtime.

Cloud Based Solutions


1. Scrubbing Center

Component Snapshot	Deployment Location:	Cloud-based
	Functional Role:	Scalable Data Cleanser
	DDoS Mitigation Capabilities:	Layer 3 & 4 – Strong Layer 7 – Conditional on SSL visibility

Most scrubbing centers are cloud-based. They are the first source of defense for most [volumetric attacks](#), which send an enormous number of packets in an attempt to overwhelm your network resources and saturate bandwidth.

Most Application Layer (Layer 7) traffic is encrypted, this means that the ability of a scrubbing service to effectively mitigate malicious Application Layer traffic is highly dependent on whether it has the relevant decryption keys – i.e. “SSL Visibility”.

Figure 2 – Illustration of a Cloud Scrubbing Center



Scrubbing centers are essentially data cleansers – They review traffic going through them and remove packets that don't adhere to the rules and guidelines defined.

The reason they are used mostly against large volumetric attacks is because of their ability to scale and match even some of the largest floods exceeding 10Tbps.




Scrubbing centers generally use the Border Gateway Protocol (BGP). BGP routes traffic according to rule-sets, policies and metrics. It forces all traffic to go through the scrubbing center, where the incoming attack traffic is cleaned before being forwarded to the organizations' IT infrastructure. Using a scrubbing center will protect an organization against an attacker targeting the name (DNS name) of your organization or the numerical IP address.

1. Content Delivery Network (CDN)

Component Snapshot	Deployment Location:	Cloud-based
	Functional Role:	Static Content Serving
	DDoS Mitigation Capabilities:	Good - Situational

Content Delivery Networks (CDNs) use the DNS (Domain Name System) protocol to route traffic through the CDN provider's system.

Figure 3 - Illustration of a Content Distribution Network (CDN)



In its most basic form, a Content Delivery Network is used to improve your customers' access to your website's content. CDNs cache some of the site's resources, and only forward requests it cannot handle, that is, only Layer 7 traffic. Incidentally, that means that Layers 3 and 4 traffic is never forwarded by a CDN to the organization's IT infrastructure, thus protecting it against volumetric attacks.

However, CDNs will only protect organizations against attacks that use the DNS names as their target. For example: An attacker targeting www.bankingplusonline.com will be forced to go through the CDN. But, if the attacker targets the same organization by inputting the site's IP address directly, i.e. 10.249.3.2 – you are not protected because your CDN provider never even sees the attack.

A CDN can only be a part of a bigger DDoS mitigation scheme. Usually more advanced attackers can find and attack the source IP of the website directly, circumventing the CDN completely.




On-Prem. Based Solutions

2. Vendor Appliances (Customer Premises Equipment - CPE)

Component Snapshot	Deployment Location:	On-Prem.
	Functional Role:	DDoS Mitigation and Protection
	DDoS Mitigation Capabilities:	Strong

Vendor appliances contain a variety of proprietary technologies, but, at their core, they are all tuned to detect and stop DDoS attacks. DDoS CPE equipment is generally located at the very edge of the organization's network, after the router but before reaching the internal network infrastructure, E.g. Firewalls, Load Balancers etc.

Figure 4 - Illustration of Dedicated On-Prem DDoS Mitigation Equipment



The appliances vary from being a combination of other components – to being a completely proprietary device consisting of highly specialized software and hardware fine-tuned to protect against DDoS attacks.

Many of the devices deliver in-depth traffic analysis, bandwidth monitoring, and anomaly reports, allowing for better network traffic planning and DDoS attack analysis. Detection of malicious packets triggers filters that only allow the legitimate traffic to get through. Post-attack forensics may provide lessons learned, so the systems can be better tuned for mitigation of future attacks.

Processing speed varies among vendors, with some offering over 100Gbps throughput.

With the increasing use of AI, vendors are including more specialized detection software based on behavioral analysis, better-tuned anomaly detection, and active intelligence gathering.

CPE equipment without a scrubbing center will not protect against large volumetric attacks, even if the CPE equipment is well configured. The CPE alone will not provide protection against internet pipe saturation.




3. Intrusion Prevention Systems (IPS)

Component Snapshot	Deployment Location:	On-Prem.
	Functional Role:	Detecting and Stopping Cyber Attacks
	DDoS Mitigation Capabilities:	Poor

These appliances specifically monitor suspicious activities within the network. They can be part of the router system, integrated into the firewall, serve as a back-up to a firewall, or sit deeper within the network infrastructure.

Figure 5 - Illustration of an Intrusion Prevention System (IPS)



They inspect and scan packets based on pre-existing rule sets, signatures, protocol status, or anomaly detection, creating alerts and/or blocking when any type of cyberattack is suspected.

The underlying design is focused on blocking security breaches, and is not set to stop a DDoS attack. These systems generally have some layer 3, 4 and 7 protection capabilities, but can only be used to help filter out leakage from components up stream, or potentially to block prolonged Layer 7 attack campaigns.

Generally most DDoS attacks cannot be mitigated using IPS systems and having to use an IPS system to block an attack most likely means the organization targeted is under a very advanced DDoS attack campaign in which CPE and or scrubbing center services are failing to mitigate Layer 7 attack traffic.

4. Web Application Firewalls (WAFs)


Component Snapshot	Deployment Location:	On-Prem./Cloud-based
	Functional Role:	Protection against Layer 7 Application Attacks
	DDoS Mitigation Capabilities:	Mild

WAFs perform multiple functions – intrusion detection and DDoS attack detection and prevention. They analyze application traffic, distinguishing potential risks from legitimate



usage, controlling access to applications or services by applying a set of rules to incoming HTTP traffic. They perform deep-packet inspections, locating, identifying, classifying, rerouting and/or blocking packets with specific data or code payloads.

Figure 6 - Illustration of a Web Application Firewall (WAF)



WAFs depend on white-listing and black-listing, which means they must be updated continuously. Legitimate user traffic will be allowed through, while suspicious traffic will be routed elsewhere for further inspection or simply blocked.

The web application firewall can be customized to your applications. For example, protecting from certain attacks against functionality – they generally protect against [layer 7 attacks](#), which directly affect applications. The inspection process does increase latency and affects the user experience, so efficiency is key.

The WAF can also be cloud-based via a service provider like AWS. Still, it generally does not protect against [volumetric attacks](#) on layers 3 and 4 that target network availability.

5. Load Balancer

Component Snapshot	Deployment Location:	On-Prem.
	Functional Role:	Distributing Incoming Traffic
	DDoS Mitigation Capabilities:	Poor


A Load Balancer receives traffic from many clients and distributes that traffic evenly between multiple application servers of the same type. In many cases multiple servers are preferred over a single stronger server for the increased reliability and availability they provide.

A Load Balancer acts as a man-in-the-middle. Clients connect to it on one end, and the load balancer creates a connection to one of the application servers on behalf of the client. In this way, the load balancer has to keep track of every connection's state i.e. the load balancer is a stateful device.

Like many other stateful devices, the load balancer is vulnerable to state-table saturation attacks e.g. HTTP attacks and a SYN flood.



Figure 7 - Illustration of a Load Balancer




A Load Balancer can help offset DDoS Attacks by distributing the malicious traffic between the application servers. Unfortunately, without a stronger DDoS mitigation component upstream to filter out most of the attack traffic, the load balancer will not be enough to stop your site from being overwhelmed.

6. Firewall

Component Snapshot	Deployment Location:	On-Prem.
	Functional Role:	Rule-based Traffic Filtering
	DDoS Mitigation Capabilities:	Mild

The Firewall guards the entrance to your internal network, preventing certain types of packets or requests from reaching your servers. It does so using rules defined at setup time, and mostly filters according to allowed packet types and the connection states.

Figure 8 - Illustration of a Firewall



A Firewall keeps a record of the state of every connection opened between external clients and the internal servers and uses those records to filter out any packet that is out-of-state. Unsurprisingly, that qualifies the Firewall as a stateful device.

Like many other stateful devices, the firewall is vulnerable to state-table saturation attacks e.g. HTTP attacks and a SYN flood.

A Firewall can filter the packets that are part of a DDoS attack but is usually not optimized for the amount of incoming packets that a DDoS entails. It will become overloaded very quickly and will go into a fail-open or fail-closed state, both of which are sure to cause downtime.

7. Components Summary

No.	Component	Network Location	DDoS Mitigation Capabilities	Comments
1.	Scrubbing Center	Cloud-based	Layer 3 & 4 – Strong	The main protection against volumetric attacks
			Layer 7 – Conditional on SSL visibility	
2.	CDN	Cloud-based	Good – Situational	Can mitigate DDoS Attacks but will not stop skilled attackers.
3.	CPE	On-Prem.	Strong	The main on-site protection
4.	IPS	On-Prem.	Poor	Unsuitable for DDoS Mitigation
5.	WAF	On-Prem. /Cloud-based	Mild	Cannot process the volume of traffic a DDoS attack entails.
6.	Load Balancer	On-Prem.	Poor	Has no defensive capabilities
7.	Firewall	On-Prem.	Mild	Cannot process the volume of traffic a DDoS attack entails.

8. Conclusion

Choosing the right combination of mitigation devices requires an understanding of how each devices' capabilities match your environment's needs together with an objective look at the corporate requirements – risk, available resources, budget, personnel, existing network infrastructure.



However, even with the most sophisticated DDoS mitigation and testing solutions deployed, most companies are left with a staggering 48% DDoS vulnerability level. The vulnerability gap stems from DDoS mitigation solutions & infrequent Red Team DDoS testing being reactive, instead of continuously evaluating and closing vulnerabilities.

Mitigation solutions do not constantly re-configure and fine tune their DDoS mitigation policies. Leaving their ongoing visibility limited and forcing them to troubleshoot issues at the very worst possible time, that is, when systems are brought down by a successful DDoS attack. These solutions are all reactive, reacting to an attack and not closing DDoS vulnerabilities before an attack happens.

About RADAR™

RADAR™, MazeBolt's new patented technology solution is part of the MazeBolt security platform. RADAR™, simulates DDoS attacks continuously and non-disruptively. Delivering advanced intelligence, through straightforward reports on how to remediate the DDoS vulnerabilities found. With RADAR™ organizations achieve, maintain, and verify the continuous closing of their DDoS vulnerability gaps. Reducing and maintaining the vulnerability level of a damaging DDoS attack from an average of 48% to under 2% ongoing.

About MazeBolt

MazeBolt is an innovation leader in cybersecurity and part of the DDoS mitigation space. Offering full DDoS risk detection and elimination and working with any mitigation system to provide end to end full coverage. Supporting organizations in avoiding downtime and closing DDoS vulnerabilities before an attack happens.

Sources

1. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Application_firewall
2. <https://www.techwalla.com/articles/what-are-the-advantages-and-disadvantages-of-using-a-firewall>
3. <https://searchnetworking.techtarget.com/definition/deep-packet-inspection-DPI>
4. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1710.08628.pdf>
5. http://www.ijiss.org/ijiss/index.php/ijiss2/article/view/248/pdf_561
6. <https://www.sans.org/reading-room/whitepapers/intrusion/summary-dos-ddos-prevention-monitoring-mitigation-techniques-service-provider-environment-1212>
7. http://www.infosecurityeurope.com/_novadocuments/22581
8. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Data_monitoring_switch

